



NEHRU GRAM BHARATI

(DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY)

Kotwa-Jamunipur-Dubawal, Prayagraj-221505, Uttar Pradesh (INDIA)

Administrative Office :

Hanumanganj Campus,
G.T. Road, Hanumanganj, Prayagraj-221505,
Uttar Pradesh
Email : info.ngbu@gmail.com

No. NGB(DU)/IQAC/2018-19/1

Date : 28-07-2018

Sub: Minutes of the 1st Meeting of IQAC in Academic Year 2018-19.

Above meeting was held on 26-07-2018 afternoon 1 p.m. to 3.30 p.m. at Research Centre, Jhuthi Tali, Kotwa with Pro-Vice Chancellor, Dr. S.C. Tiwari in chair on agenda for as enclosed in **Appendix-I**. Attendance Sheet with signatures of members present is enclosed as **Appendix -II**.

Both the agenda points -

(I) PSP clearance meeting to be organised only after availing duly filled in Quality check list as well as the quality check list (Agenda Item 2) were discussed. The revised write-up on both the points are as per **Appendix No-III** enclosed wherein minor revisions suggested by the august gathering were duly incorporated.

(II) It was decided that the new Ph.D. Guidelines as **Appendix No-III** be now put up to the Academic Council for its incorporation in concerned statutes for Ph.D. Degree programme of NGB (DU). Submitted for kind approval of the Chairman (IQAC)

Chairman, IQAC

R. C. Tripathi
28.07.2018

Prof. R.C.Tripathi
Member, Convener and Director,
Internal Quality Assurance Cell

M. C.
28.7.18

Chairman Academic Council



NEHRU GRAM BHARATI

(Deemed to be University)

Kotwa-Jamunipur, Dubawal, Prayagraj-221505 (U.P.)

Dated :

PSP clearance by concerned Dean or his/her conjugate/Dir. (IQAC).

The Checklist:

Title of the Thesis : _____

Name & Deptt. of the Ph.D. Scholar: _____

Name & Deptt. of his/her Research Guide: _____

Name of the Faculty/Dean/Conjugate Dean to whom Draft thesis was
submitted: _____

Date of submitting the Draft Thesis to the Dean/Conjugate dean/Dir. (IQAC):

(A) On the over-all get-up of the draft thesis-

(i) Thesis Title is appropriate to the work (Y/N): ()

(ii) If, No, suggested revised title should be _____



(iii) Declaration by the candidate, and the guide are as per NGB Norms

(Y/N) : ()

(iv) Page numbering before the Ist chapter starts in small Roman nos. followed by the Ist chapter and onwards in decimal system numerals

(Y/N) : ()

(v) All references are complete and only in the end, except those wherefrom some indented text is copied verbatim and such references appear right in the footnote of the concerned page (Y/N) : ()

(vi) All references are complete as per the type of reference as below:

(a) Research papers : <Author(s) name(s)>, <Title>, <Journal name or name and date of the conference proceedings>, <Volume/Issue No. if any>, <year>, <Pg. No.>.

(b) Patents : <Inventor's Name(s)>, <Title>, <Patent No.>, <Name of the Patent Authority/Country-Government patent office>, <Priority date with year of International patent else date of filing in National/Indian Patent>, <Date with year of Grant if any.>, <Pg. Nos.>

(c) Books : <Author's Name>, <Title>, <Publisher>, <Latest reprint year/Year of Reprint Publication>, <Volume No., if any>, <1st Publication Year>, <Pg.Nos. if any>

(d) Website/Internet Link if any :- <Complete URL>, <Date of Last



Access>

(e) Special Reports or in-house reports acknowledged Internationally, if any.: <Title>, <Publisher/owner>, <Year>

(Y/N) ()

(vii) Glossary if relevant has been after the 'List of references' and follow the format as described above for 'REFERENCES'.

(Y/N) ()

(viii) No reference or entries in Glossary seem to be inappropriate or superfluous/cosmetic in nature (Y/N) :

()

(ix) Total Nos. of pages and no. of pages devoted to various chapters look logical e.g. in a FACT FINDING TYPE social science thesis of maximum 200 pages- Chapter 1 - Introduction is about 10%; Chapter 2 - Literature Review and problem definition \approx 15%; Chapter 3 - Data collected , Survey questionnaire, Forms, Interviewing methods, Summary and Analysis \approx 25%; Chapter 4 - Tools, techniques and methods used \approx 15%; Chapter 5- Results and their Interpretations 20%; Chapter 6 - Summary of Hypothesis vis-à-vis results and their societal benefits \approx 10%; References & Glossary 5% respectively.

(Y/N) ()



- (x) Entire thesis is free from any spelling mistake, syntactical or semantic error and also free from plagiarism, also full use of at least MS-Office tools is evident in it along with methods for avoidance of plagiarism (Y/N): ()
- (xi) A thesis is basically for advancement of knowledge by way of exploration in areas not attempted so far, facts finding by Survey data, a comparative study or even an intrinsically new expression of an old historical/mythological/story/narration/description of a scientific & technological phenomenon or social behaviour. Depending on its type, the chapters and their contents look to be unified and not disjoint.(Y/N): ()
- (xii) Tools and techniques used are modern along with modern IT based types and their knowledge, merits, demerits appropriateness is well recorded. (Y/N): ()

B. Chapter 1 Introduction: This chapter should spell out taxonomy of the title-subject starting from UG/PG level module to which the work related to progressively giving overview of main branch followed by sub branch, sub sub branch - reaching to the thesis title. This should also highlight what has been the motivation of the Ph.D. scholar to pursue the present work? what has been the hypothesis to be tested in the present work? expected results and their expected societal implications.



All the above should be developed and described in such a language which is for audience of almost same caliber who may appreciate the subject, its chapter-wise build up in totality including tools, techniques, apparatus etc work seemingly most befitting to the solution of the problem stated in the hypothesis. This is evident (Y/N): ()

C. Chapter 2 The Literature Survey: This chapter should be fully decomposed to arrive at an elaborate "STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM". leaving no stone unturned in respect of relevant books, websites/links, Journals, proceedings of the related Conferences/Seminars/Workshops throughout the globe.

It is to be noted that Ph.D. is not granted for titbit solutions of many diverse problems. It is awarded in fact to recognise the talent of the scholar to arrive at a single wholesome problem whose solution may prove to provide a quantum jump in innovation for solving in general some real life problem or academic problem agreed by many, i.e., by the related research community as a whole. For this one may refer to nationally, globally acknowledged RESEARCH PROBLEMS stated in latest review articles and editorials published by eminent experts in esteemed journals, websites, Govt. Reports, reports of forums of Industry, business, trade etc. Even in a country like ours, Annual Reports of various Ministries both of State and Central governments publish "Thrust Areas of Research", where they have provision for funding the aspiring expert researchers whether in Govt or private institutes of higher education and research. This is available both in hard copy of their Annual Reports and soft copy on their websites.(Excerpt from Meity may be seen in Annexure I.)

It is the responsibility of the Ph.D. scholars to carve out his/her "Problem.



Statement" which is unique and desirable by him/her self within the existing constraints and duly endorsed by his/her Ph.D. supervisor.

It is once again emphasized that when a Ph.D. Scholar is writing his/her this chapter for the review of the work done so far, it should be in his/her own wording free from any syntactical, semantic errors/confusions and plagiarism. A write up on plagiarism Detection and avoidance in research papers/Ph.D. thesis is given in Annexure II

(Y/N)

()

Recommendations :

1	PSP of the Draft thesis may now be arranged(Y/N)	
---	--	--

Or

2	PSP of the Draft thesis may be arranged after the suggested amendments/revisions have been made (Y/N)	
---	---	--

Or

3	Thesis is not acceptable at all for PSP clearance	
---	---	--

Name & Sign of the
concerned Dean/
Conjugate Dean/
Dir.(IQAC)

Name :

with Date